Case Study Evaluation of the Results of Incorporating the Use of an Adhesive Sacral Foam* Dressing with a Sodium Carboxymethylcellulose Wound Contact Layer in a Hospital's Pressure Ulcer Prevention Program

Purpose

To understand the performance of a foam dressing including a Sodium Carboxymethylcellulose wound contact layer with a border when used in the sacral area as part of a comprehensive pressure ulcer prevention protocol of care to protect intact skin against skin breakdown (Chart 1).

Objectives:

- Describe the dressing performance related to protection of the sacral area on at risk patients.
- Describe the ability of the dressing to conform to the sacral area.
- Describe the nurse response to ease of dressing application and removal.

Abstract

The prevention of pressure ulcers has become a national focus since they are both high-cost and high volume adverse events.^{1,2} CMS has named this a preventable hospital acquired condition and no longer reimburses acute care facilities for this event. This can be financially devastating to the hospital. Pressure ulcer incidence is also included as a national indicator of excellence in nursing. Patient satisfaction scores will affect the reputation of the facility.

Hospital-generated benchmarks are an emerging arena. Therefore, pressure ulcer prevention is a high priority. Traditionally, we have been limited to prevention guidelines that include support surfaces, mobilization, positioning devices, incontinence management, skin care and nutritional support.³

Recently, studies have been done to show prevention of pressure ulcers to the sacrum with prophylactic use of a product applied to the sacrum to prevent pressure related injury. It is hypothesized that this occurs because it is reducing friction, shear and moisture to the sacral area as well as redistributing pressure.^{4,5}

A 6-patient case study evaluation was initiated to evaluate the performance of a foam dressing including a Sodium Carboxymethylcellulose (Na-CMC) Wound contact layer with an adhesive border. The patient selection included those at risk for sacral ulcer development and patients with altered skin integrity to the sacral area with concerns for deterioration.

Conclusion

The dressing performed well in conforming to the sacrum and being resistant to minor fecal and urinary incontinence.

Patients with existing alteration to skin integrity in the sacral area showed improvement. The nurse response to the dressing application and removal as well as patient response during wear time was positive. Therefore our facility will move forward with incorporating this practice as part of our Pressure Ulcer Prevention program.

References

Shawna Philbin BSN, RN, CWOCN

Case Example

Middle aged African American woman with uncontrollable DM, severe neuropathy to lower legs was admitted to the facility. She was bed bound and had both urinary and fecal incontinence. Scattered partial thickness skin loss due to moisture effects was noted on admission.



Area on Admission

Note open skin due to incontinence. Patient was increasingly immobile so the use of an adhesive sacral foam dressing with a Sodium Carboxymethylcellulose contact layer was initiated after thorough cleansing of the skin to remove barrier ointment residue.



Day 4

Dressing was removed and epithelialization of all open areas is progressing. In addition, the surrounding skin is intact and protected.



1st Application

Note off-center application to accommodate the location and size of the denuded areas. Careful removal of barrier ointment was crucial to success of dressing adhesion.



Day 4

The dressing was changed and a 7" x 7" square was used. We found both sizes worked well to cover and protect the area. The wound went on to heal completely.



Chart

*AQUACEL[®] Foam dressing Poster sponsored by ConvaTec Inc. AQUACEL is a registered trademark of ConvaTec Inc.



[.] National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel & European Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel. Prevention and treatment of pressure ulcers: clinical practice guideline. Washington DC: National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel; 2009.

^{2.} Pieper, B. (Ed.) with the National Pressure Ulcer Advisory Panel (NPUAP). (2012). Pressure ulcers: Prevalence, incidence, and implications for the future. Washington, DC:NPUAP. ©2012

^{3.} Wound Ostomy and Continence Nurses Society. (2010) Guideline for Prevention and Management of Pressure Ulcers. Mount Laurel, New Jersey, WOCN publication. 4. Bouten, C.V., Oomens, C. W., Baaijens, F.P., Bader, D.L., The etiology of pressure ulcers: skin deep or muscle bound? Archives of Physical Medicine and

Rehabilitation, Volume 84, Issue 4. Pages 616-619, April 2003. 5. WCET Journal Volume 30 Number 1, January/March 2010. Tod Brindle, Outliers to the Braden Scale: Identifying High Risk ICU patients and the results of prophylactic dressing use.