


Why AQUACEL® Extra™ dressings?

Because some wounds demand extra.




STRENGTH • ABSORBENCY • CONFIDENCE



You face challenges every day.


- **Retaining and controlling exudate levels** to help prevent maceration¹
- **Removing harmful bacteria and enzymes** to reduce delayed healing¹
- **Minimizing pain** during dressing changes or when dressing is *in situ*¹
- **Containing costs** while providing effective care¹

Experience the benefits of Hydrofiber® Technology found in the AQUACEL® family of dressings.



Locks in*

wound exudate and bacteria²⁻⁵ to help minimize cross infection and reduce lateral spread of fluid to help prevent maceration.^{6,7}



Micro-contours*

to the wound bed,⁸ maintaining an optimal moisture balance⁹ and eliminating dead space where bacteria can grow.^{8,10}



Responds*

to wound fluid levels by forming a cohesive gel, while helping to minimize pain associated with dressing changes.^{11,12}

* As demonstrated *in vitro*.
All images are artist's impressions.

From the family you trust.

The AQUACEL® family of dressings has a clinical heritage of efficacy since 1995.

- 100+ published clinical and scientific papers – including 23 randomized controlled trials
- Demonstrated evidence of progressing wounds toward healing¹³⁻²⁷
- Shown to be a cost-reducing adjunct to a protocol of care²³⁻³⁴


Now, there's more to love about AQUACEL® Dressings.

AQUACEL® Extra™ dressings take Hydrofiber® Technology to the next level.^{35,37}

More Hydrofiber®
Technology for **extra
absorbency**, for better
exudate management and
dressing efficiencies.^{35,37}

Stitch-bonded for
extra strength to
facilitate easier, less
painful removal.

Can be cut in
any direction.



AQUACEL® Extra™

39%

**more
absorbency***³⁶

9x

stronger
than standard
AQUACEL®
dressings^{*36}



AQUACEL® Extra™ dressings manage a wide range of wounds.

LOW EXUDATE

MODERATE EXUDATE

HEAVY EXUDATE

AQUACEL® Extra™ dressing

Find your answer.
Order AQUACEL® Extra™ dressings today.

Dressing Size	Dressings per box	Product Code
AQUACEL® EXTRA™		
5 cm x 5 cm (2 in. x 2 in.)	10	420671
10 cm x 10 cm (4 in. x 4 in.)	10	420672
15 cm x 15 cm (6 in. x 6 in.)	5	420673

References: 1. World Union of Wound Healing Societies (WUWHS). Principles of best practice: wound exudate and the role of dressings. A consensus document. London: MEP Ltd. 2007. 2. Newman GR, Walker M, Hobot JA, Bowler PG. 2006. Visualisation of bacterial sequestration and bacterial activity within hydrating Hydrofiber® wound dressings. Biomaterials 27(07): 1129-1139. 3. Walker M, Hobot JA, Newman GR, Bowler PG. 2003. Scanning electron microscopic examination of bacterial immobilization in a carboxymethyl cellulose (AQUACEL®) and alginate Dressing. Biomaterials 24:883-890. 4. Bowler PG, Jones SA, Davies BJ, Coyle E. 1999. Infection control properties of some wound dressings. J Wound Care 8(10):499-502. 5. Walker M, Bowler PG, Cochran CA. 2007. In vitro studies to show sequestration of matrix metalloproteinases by silver-containing wound care products. Ostomy/ Wound Management 53(9): 18-25. 6. Walker M and Parsons D. 2010. Hydrofiber® Technology: its role in exudate management. Wounds UK 6: 31-38. 7. Parsons D, Bowler PG, Myles V, Jones SA. 2005. Silver antimicrobial dressings in wound management: A comparison of antibacterial, physical and chemical characteristics. WOUNDS, 17: 222-232. 8. Jones SA, Bowler PG, Walker M. 2005. Antimicrobial activity of silver-containing dressings is influenced by dressing conformability with a wound surface. WOUNDS, 17: 263-270. 9. Bishop SM, Walker M, Rogers AA, Chen WYJ. Moisture balance: optimising the wound-dressing interface. J Wound Care. 2003;12:125-128. 10. Bowler P, Jones S, Towers V, Booth R, Parsons D, Walker M. 2010. Dressing conformability and silver-containing wound dressings. Wounds UK 6: 14-20. 11. Barnea Y, Amir A, Leshem D, et al. Clinical comparative study of Aquacel and paraffin gauze dressing for split-skin donor site treatment. Ann Plast Surg. 2004;53(2):132-136. 12. Kogan L, Moldavsky M, Szvalb S, Govrin-Yehudain J. Comparative study of Aquacel and Silverol treatment in burns. Ann Burns Fire Disasters. 2004;17(4):201-207. 13. Brunner U, Eberlein T. Experiences with hydrofibres in the moist treatment of chronic wounds, in particular of diabetic foot. VASA. 2000;29(4):253-257. 14. Armstrong SH, Ruckley CV. Use of a fibrous dressing in exuding leg ulcers. J Wound Care. 1997;6(7):322-324. 15. Piaggini A, Baccetti F, Rizzo L, Romanelli M, Navales R, Benzi L. Sodium carboxyl-methyl-cellulose dressings in the management of deep ulcerations of diabetic foot. Diabet Med. 2001;18(4):320-324. 16. Clarke JV, Deakin AH, Dillon JM, Emmerson S, Kinninmonth AWG. A prospective clinical audit of a new dressing design for lower limb arthroplasty wounds. J Wound Care. 2009;18(1):5-11. 17. Cohn SM, Lopez PP, Brown M, et al; University of Miami Wound Study Group. Open surgical wounds: how does Aquacel compare with wet-to-dry gauze? J Wound Care. 2004;13(1):10-12. 18. Ravenscroft MJ, Harker J, Buch KA. A prospective, randomised, controlled trial comparing wound dressings used in hip and knee surgery Aquacel and Tegaderm versus Cutiplast. Ann R Coll Surg Engl. 2006;88(1):18-22. 19. Coutts P, Sibbald RG. 2005. The effect of a silver-containing Hydrofiber® dressing on superficial wound bed and bacterial balance of chronic wounds. Int. Wound J; 2: 348-356. 20. Jurczak F, Dugre T, Johnstone A, Offori T, Vujovic Z, Hollander D. 2007. Randomised clinical trial of Hydrofiber dressing with silver versus povidone-iodine gauze in the management of open surgical and traumatic wounds. I Wound J; 4: 66-76. 21. Blome-Eberwein, Johnson RM, Miller SF, Caruso DM, Jordan MH, Milner S, Tredget EE, Sittig KM, Smith L. 2010. Hydrofiber dressing with silver for the management of split-thickness donor sites: A randomised evaluation of two protocols of care. Burns; 36: 665-672. 22. Jude EB, Apelqvist J, Sprault M, Martini J. 2004. Prospective randomised controlled study of Hydrofiber dressing containing ionic silver or calcium alginate dressings in non-ischaemic diabetic foot ulcers. Diabetic Medicine; 24: 280-288. 23. Caruso DM, Foster KN, Hermans MHE, Rick C. 2004. AQUACEL® Ag in the management of partial-thickness burns: Results of a Clinical Trial. J Burn Care Rehabil.; 25: 89-97. 24. Kwon Lee S. 2003. Clinical experiences with technologies: case reports on the use of two Hydrofiber® dressings. Ostomy Wound Management; 49: (8) Suppl. 6-9. 25. Lohana P, Potokar TS. 2006. AQUACEL™ Ag in paediatric burns – a prospective audit. Annals of Burns and Fire Disasters; XIX (3): 144-147. 26. Caruso DM, Foster KM, Blome-Eberwein SA, Twomey JA, Herdon DN, Luterma A, Silverstein P, Antimario JR, Bauer GJ. 2006. Randomized clinical study of Hydrofiber dressing with silver or silver sulfadiazine in the management of partial-thickness burns. J Burn Care Res; 27: 298-309. 27. Huang S-H, Yang P-S, Wu S-H, Chang K-P, Lin T-M, Lin S-D, Lai C-S, Lee S-S. 2010. AQUACEL™ Ag with Vaseline gauze in the management of toxic epidermal necrolysis (TEN). Burns; 36: 121-126. 28. Harding KG, Price P, Robinson B, Thomas S, Hoffman D. Cost and dressing evaluation of hydrofiber alginate dressings in the management of community-based patients with chronic leg ulceration. Wounds. 2001;13(12):229-236. 29. Dillon JM, Clarke JV, Emmerson S, Kinninmonth AWG. The Jubilee method: a modern dressing design which reduces complications and is cost effective following total hip and knee arthroplasty. Poster presented at: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Annual Meeting; February 14-17 2007; San Diego, CA. 30. Moore PJ, Foster L. Cost benefits of two dressings in the management of surgical wounds. Br J Nurs. 2000;9(17):1128-1132. 31. Paddock HN, Fabia R, Giles S, Hayes J, Lowell W, Adams D, Besner GE. 2007. A silver-impregnated antimicrobial dressing reduces hospital costs for pediatric burn patients. J Pediatric Surg; 42: 211-215. 32. Muangman P, Pundee C, Opasanon S, Muangman S. 2010. A prospective, randomized trial of silver containing hydrofiber dressing versus 1% silver sulfadiazine for the treatment of partial thickness burns. Int Wound J; 7: 271-276. 33. Saba S, Tsai C, Glat P. 2009. Clinical evaluation comparing the efficacy of AQUACEL® Ag Hydrofiber® dressing versus petrolatum gauze with antibiotic ointment in partial thickness burns in a pediatric burn center. J Burn Care Res; 30: 380-385. 34. Koyuncu A, Karadag H, Kurt A, Aydin C, Topcu O. 2010. Silver-impregnated dressings reduce wound closure time in marsupialized pilonidal sinus. EWMA Journal; 10: 25-27. 35. Preliminary assessment of the physical properties of AQUACEL™ EXTRA vs AQUACEL™. Scientific Background Report. WHRI3461 TA214 Rev. 1 2011, Data on File, ConvaTec Inc. 36. Clarke JV, Deakin AH, Dillon JM, Emmerson S, Kinninmonth AWG. A prospective clinical audit of a new dressing design for lower limb arthroplasty wounds. J Wound Care. 2009;18(1):5-11. 37. Bugeo A, Bowler F, Bishop SM. 2012. Assessment of the in vitro physical properties of AQUACEL® Ag Extra™ and AQUACEL® Ag dressings. Technical Assessment. WHRI3602 TA235. 2012, Data on File, ConvaTec Inc.

®/™ indicates trademarks of ConvaTec Inc.
©2021 ConvaTec Inc. AP-031178-CA V1620

AQUACEL® Extra™

For more information, please call our Customer Relations Center (Registered Nurses on staff) at **1-800-465-6302**, Monday through Friday, 8:00 AM to 6:00 PM (EST), or visit **www.convatec.ca**



AQUACEL® Dressings
TRIED. TRUE. TRUSTED.™

